UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA HAMMOND DIVISION

NORFLEET POWERS,)	
Petitioner,	
v.)	CAUSE NO. 2:04-CV-0507 PS
SUPERINTENDENT, MIAMI) CORRECTIONAL FACILITY,	
Respondent.)	

OPINION AND ORDER

Petitioner Norfleet Powers filed a notice of appeal, a request for a Certificate of Appealability, and a petition to proceed *in forma pauperis* on appeal in this case.

To obtain a Certificate of Appealability, a petitioner must make "a 'substantial showing of the denial of a federal right.'" *Barefoot v. Estelle*, 463 U.S. 880, 893 (1983) (quoting *Stewart v. Beto*, 454 F.2d 268, 270 n.2 (5th Cir. 1971), *cert. denied*, 406 U.S. 925 (1972)); *see also Stuart v. Gagnon*, 837 F.2d 289 (7th Cir. 1987). The court's discretion on whether to grant or deny a Certificate of Appealability is the best vehicle for separating meritorious from frivolous appeals. *Barefoot v. Estelle*, 463 U.S. at 893. A petitioner is not required to show that he would prevail on the merits, but he must show that the issues presented in his habeas petition are "debatable among jurists of reason; that a court *could* resolve the issues [in a different manner]; or that the questions are 'adequate to deserve encouragement to proceed further.'" *Id.* at 893 n.4 (quoting *Gordon v. Willis*, 516 F. Supp. 911, 913 (N.D.Ga. 1980)); *see also United States ex rel. Calhoun v. Pate*, 341 F.2d 885 (7th Cir.), *cert. denied*, 382 U.S. 945 (1965).

USDC IN/ND case 2:04-cv-00507-PPS-APR document 25 filed 11/16/06 page 2 of 2

This Court denied Mr. Powers's petition because it concluded that the petition was

not timely filed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2244(d)(1). None of the issues presented to the

Court present a question that is debatable among jurists of reason. See Kraushaar v.

Flanigan, 45 F.3d. 1040 (7th Cir. 1995). Where the court denies a certificate of appealability

because petition was without merit, then it should deny in forma pauperis status on appeal

because the appeal is not taken in good faith. See Walker v. O'Brien, 216 F.3d 626,632 (7th

Cir. 2000).

For the foregoing reasons, the court **DENIES** the petitioner's request for a Certificate

of Appealability (docket #23) pursuant to Rule 22(b), Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure.

The court also **DENIES** the petitioner's motion for leave to proceed in forma pauperis on

appeal (docket #21) because the appeal is not taken in good faith. The court advises the

petitioner that pursuant to Fed. R. App. P. 22(b), where the district judge denies a certificate

of appealability, the applicant for the writ may then request issuance of the certificate by

a circuit judge.

SO ORDERED.

DATED: November 16, 2006

/s Philip P. Simon

Philip P. Simon, Judge

United States District Court

2